

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMUSS ONED FOR PATENTS P.O. Bok 140 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usqui.pv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,431	11/04/20	03	Sanjeev Gupta		5648	
Mark Terry	7590	01/05/2007		EXAMINER		
227 Michigan Av. #204				. VO, TED T		
Miami Beach,	, FL 33139		• •	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2191		
				DEL NICO	·	
SHORTENED STATUTO	ORY PERIOD OF RES	SPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS			01/05/2007	PA	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	olication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/700,431	GUPTA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Ted T. Vo	2191						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS IN 1975 IN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 6(a). In no event, however, may a re ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 No</u>	ovember 2003.							
2a)□		action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.		•						
=	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.							
5)□									
6)🖾	☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•	1					
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/04/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	₹ 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.						
Attach	(1a)	·							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)									
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office									

Application/Control Number: 10/700,431 Page 2

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the communication filed on 11/03/2003.

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. The specification of this application is object to. It appears that the block texts [0020] and [0021] (p. 8) in the section of BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS do not related to any descriptions of a figure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. The claims 9-16, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claims 9-16: Claims 9-16 are directed to a computer system, however, the recitations in the computer system merely direct to a program per se. The language "computer system" does not have any structures connecting to a physical and actual device of a computer, but covers a generic system like an implementation of programming entities or modules. The claims are merely a list per se of computer programming modules. A list of computer programming language fails to produce a tangible result. The claims, which fail to connect to, and/or to be implemented by, a real computer, remain a list per se, thus, fail to achieve the required status of having real world value.

Art Unit: 2191

As per claims 17-20: Claims 17-20 are directed to a computer readable medium included with claimed subject mater, signals/wireless.

Refer to Application's spec, p. 39-40:

In this document, the terms "computer program medium," "computer usable medium," and "computer readable medium" are used to generally refer to media such as main memory 1506 and secondary memory 1512, removable storage drive 1516, a hard disk installed in hard disk drive 1514, and signals.

Furthermore, the computer readable medium may comprise computer readable information in a transitory state medium such as a network link and/or a network interface, including a wired network or a wireless network, that allow a computer to read such computer readable information.

The claimed subject matter, medium as signals or wireless, lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Specifically, the claimed subject matter of signal/wireless does not produce a tangible result because the claimed subject matter fails to produce a result that is limited to having <u>real world value</u> rather than a result that may be interpreted to be abstract in nature data as energy. This produced result remains in the abstract and, thus, fails to achieve the required status of having real world value.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2191

6. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-17 of copending Application No. 11/147,479 (Pub # US 2005/0229151 A1). This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claims 1-17 of the US patent application serial No. 11/147,479 has all claimed subject matters presenting in the instant claims 1-20.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Microsoft Solution Framework, "MSF Project Management Discipline", v. 1.1, http://www.microsoft.com/msf/, p. 1-31, 6-2002 (hereinafter: MSF).

Given the broadest reasonable interpretation of followed claims in light of the specification.

As per Claim 1: MSF discloses,

Art Unit: 2191

A method on a computer for providing critical chain-based project management across a plurality of projects, comprising:

generating a plurality of plans, each of the plurality of plans corresponding to one of the plurality of projects, wherein a project comprises at least one task (See p. 23, Figure 6: plurality of plans; see p. 21 Project Plans/table; left table: projects, right table: task);

generating buffers for each of the plurality of projects (see p. 29, Managing Buffer time, adding buffer time to project schedules);

reconciling project resources among the plurality of projects (See p. 21/tables; implement under Figure 6 of p. 23); and

executing (See table in p. 8 shows managing/planning/estimating/scheduling. See p. 22, second line from the last, 'Detailed descriptions of how the tasks are to be performed' (Examiner Note: the term "task" by definition is a function to be performed. Therefore an act upon the task in the reference reads "executing", because this reference performs every act on each of its given tasks)) the plurality of project plans, including allowing a user to manage the buffers across the plurality of projects (see description for each planning in the table of p. 8; e.g., in the schedule management, it includes management of timing, where in p. 29, the Managing Buffer Time already discussed allowing a user to add time to project schedules).

As per Claim 2: MSF discloses, The method of claim 1, wherein the executing step further comprises: executing the plurality of project plans, including providing to the user information associated with buffers for the plurality of projects, so as to evaluate the status of the plurality of projects. See p. 6, 'single source of information on project status'; see p. 17, 'routine tracking of status, cost, and scheduled'; see p. 28, PERT, and see p. 29, Managing Buffer Time).

As per Claim 3: MSF discloses, The method of claim 2, wherein the executing step further comprises: executing the plurality of project plans, including providing to the user task prioritization for any task of the plurality of projects, wherein task prioritization is calculated across the plurality of projects. See p. 29, Time-Boxing.

As per Claim 4: MSF discloses, The method of claim 3, further comprising at least one of: allowing the user to manage, over a network interface, the buffers across the plurality of projects; providing to the

Art Unit: 2191

user, over a network interface, information associated with buffers for the plurality of projects; and providing to the user, over a network interface, task prioritization for any task of the plurality of projects. Note the above limitation requires a user act upon, the MSF is a framework, that allows the user to manage his project plan, provided by the MSF with using buffer time, and schedule, disciplines, time-boxing, via common interface of Internet using URL links or within Microsoft Network (see p. 4 Overview of Frameworks, or Figures 2, 3, 4).

As per Claim 5: The limitation of Claim 5 has the claimed functionality corresponding to the limitation of Claim 1. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per Claims 6-8: The limitations in Claims 6-8 have the claimed functionality corresponding to the limitations of Claims 2-4. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claims 2-4 above.

As per Claim 9: The limitation of Claim 9 is list of modules where the modules' functionality corresponds to the limitation of Claim 1. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per Claims 10-12: The limitations in Claims 10-12 are further lists of modules where the modules' functionality corresponds to the limitations of Claims 2-4. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claims 2-4 above.

As per Claim 13: The limitation of Claim 13 is list of modules where the modules' functionality corresponds to the limitation of Claim 1. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per Claims 14-16: The limitations in Claims 14-16 are further lists of modules where the modules' functionality corresponds to the limitations of Claims 2-4. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claims 2-4 above.

As per Claim 17: The limitation of Claim 17 is computer medium which has the claimed functionality corresponding to the limitation of Claim 1. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

As per Claims 18-20: The limitations in Claims 18-20 are further computer media which have the claimed functionality corresponding to the limitations of Claims 2-4. See rationale addressed in the rejection of claims 2-4 above.

Art Unit: 2191

Conclusion

Page 7

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Ted T. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3706. The examiner can normally be

reached on 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei

Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708.

The facsimile number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is the

Central Facsimile number 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to

the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100. Information regarding the status of an application may

be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTV

December 22, 2006

TED VO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100